

REMARKS

We specifically disavow signals from the 'computer readable media' recited by the claims of the present application." The amendment is needed to remove the non-transitory language and to add a method claim corresponding to the system claim. The proposed amendments require no additional search or examination as no new limitations are being added and no limitations are being removed except with respect to the non-transitory language with respect to claim 15. However, the reason for previously including the non-transitory language was to exclude signals, which have been specifically disavowed by this response. Thus, the non-transitory language is no longer necessary. With respect to new claim 43, new claim 43 is simply a method claim citing nearly identical limitations to claim 15, except in method form. The claims are allowable for the same reasons as previously allowable, and the amendments along with the disavowal of signals have not altered their allowability. The amendments were not presented earlier due to the uncertain nature as to individual Examiner's and Art Unit's policies with respect to computer readable media. As the approach now taken has recently been accepted by a number of Examiners, and has been agreed to by the Examiner in the present case, this amendment is timely.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney at (801) 533-9800.

Dated this 23rd day of April, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

/J. LAVAR OLDHAM/

RICK D. NYDEGGER
Registration No. 28,651
J. LAVAR OLDHAM
Registration No. 53,409
Attorneys for Applicant
Customer No. 47973

RDN:JLO:cj
2105795_1.DOC